Two orienting questions

- Why do some global health initiatives receive political priority while others remain neglected?
  - Not fully explained by burden (HIV/AIDS v. undernutrition, for instance)
  - Development of a framework
- What factors have shaped levels of political priority for the global safe motherhood initiative?
  - Application of framework to this initiative

Background on health issue and paper

- Draws on social science theory to inform public health policy-making
- Maternal mortality:
  - Half a million deaths annually due to complications from childbirth
  - Almost all in low-income countries
  - Leading cause of death globally for adult women of reproductive age
  - Two decade-long safe motherhood initiative (begun in 1987) has made almost no difference in mortality levels

Meaning of political priority

- Definition:
  - Degree to which leaders of international organizations and national political systems actively pay attention to an issue, and provide resources commensurate with the problem's severity
- Political priority does not guarantee public health impact
- But it facilitates impact and is therefore essential to investigate

Why variance across initiatives?

- Much speculation:
  - Severity of problem?
  - Availability of intervention?
  - Media interest?
  - Sudden crises?
  - Effective global champions?
  - Rich country fears?
  - Strong advocacy?
  - Donor whims?
- Little research
How the framework was developed

- Drawing on:
  - Social science research on collective action
  - In-depth case study of global safe motherhood initiative
- Process-tracing methodology
- Framework in formative stage: intended to stimulate further research
- Many issues remain:
  - Causal weights of factors
  - Context dependent causality
  - Missed factors
  - Interactions among factors
  - Deeper theoretical base (next project)

Framework on determinants of political priority for global health initiatives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Factor (none necessary or sufficient)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actor power</td>
<td>1. Policy community cohesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Leadership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Guiding institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Civil society mobilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas</td>
<td>5. Internal frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. External frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political contexts</td>
<td>7. Policy windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Global governance structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue characteristics</td>
<td>9. Credible indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10. Severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11. Effective interventions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Findings on the global safe motherhood initiative

- Difficult history:
  - Disappointing levels of political support
  - Due to problems in each of four categories
- New momentum:
  - Particularly since 2007
- Rationale for examining past difficulties:
  - Enables identification of past problems, increasing likelihood of transcending these and building political momentum

Actor power (category one)

Actor power:
Policy community cohesion (factor 1)

- What it is:
  - Coalescence among network of concerned organizations
  - Policy communities can include multiple organizational types
- Why it matters:
  - Enhances policy community authority and political power

Actor power: Leadership (factor 2)

- Who they are:
  - Individuals acknowledged as strong champions for the cause
- Why they matter:
  - Defining issue; inspiring action; bringing together policy communities
- Example:
  - Jim Grant for child survival
**Actor power: Guiding institutions (factor 3)**
- What they are:
  - Powerful coordinating mechanisms with mandate to lead initiative
- Why they matter:
  - Especially, initiative sustainability
- Example:
  - Task Force for Child Survival and Development

**Actor power: Civil society mobilization (factor 4)**
- What it is:
  - Engaged social institutions that press political authorities to act
- Why it matters:
  - Source of bottom-up pressure on political leaders

---

**Actor power: Findings on the safe motherhood initiative**
- Policy community cohesion:
  - Historically problematic; now growing
- Leadership:
  - Many talented advocates and researchers; dearth of unifying leaders
- Guiding institutions:
  - Historically no strong institutions and lack of coordinated UN leadership; some institutions may now be emerging
- Civil society mobilization:
  - Relatively weak; gender inequities give many poor women little political voice

**Actor power: Intervention debates hinder policy community cohesion**
"[People became] extremely defensive about their ideas...If you didn’t agree with the idea you were bad and wrong...It was kind of like President Bush. If you are against this idea then you are a traitor."

-- Statement from respondent

---

**Ideas: Internal frame (factor 5)**
- What it is:
  - Common policy community understanding of definition of problem and solutions
- Why it matters:
  - Averts fractiousness; enhances credibility
Idea: External frame (factor 6)

- **What it is:**
  - Public positioning of the issue that inspire external audiences, especially political leaders, to act
- **Why it matters:**
  - Only some resonate widely, and different frames may resonate with different audiences
- **Examples:**
  - Finance ministers may pay more attention to economic cost-benefit frames
  - Health ministers may be inspired more by public health impact frames

Idea: Findings on the safe motherhood initiative

- **Internal frame:**
  - Long-standing agreement that maternal mortality a neglected crisis demanding redress
  - Until recently difficulty finding other points of agreement, especially surrounding solutions
- **External frame:**
  - Struggle to find public positioning of issue that resonates with political leaders
  - May now be changing

Political contexts: Policy windows (factor 7)

- **What they are:**
  - Moments in time when global conditions align favorably for an issue
  - Often follow disasters (tsunami), discoveries (vaccines), forums (global UN conferences)
- **Why they matter:**
  - Present global windows of opportunity for issue promotion
- **Example:**
  - The MDGs: advantageous to those health causes on it

Political contexts: Global governance structure (factor 8)

- **What they are:**
  - Set of institutions that govern a sector globally
- **Why they matter:**
  - Where strong and cohesive, present possibilities for effective global collective action
- **Example:**
  - Increasingly complex global health architecture can create difficulties for global coordination on health

Political contexts: Findings on the safe motherhood initiative

- **Policy windows:**
  - Some have opened, facilitated by MDG 5
  - Not clear how well policy community has taken advantage of these
- **Global governance structure:**
  - Not ideal for safe motherhood, with complex global health architecture and unclear leadership on issue within UN system
Issue characteristics (category four)

**Issue characteristics:**

**Clear indicators** (factor 9)

- **What these are:**
  - Credible measures that demonstrate severity of the problem
- **Why they matter:**
  - Numbers can alarm politicians
  - They may also be used to convince politicians progress is being made

**Severity** (factor 10)

- **What it is:**
  - Large burden relative to other problems
- **Why it matters:**
  - Other things being equal policy-makers prefer to devote resources to causes they perceive to be serious

**Effective interventions** (factor 11)

- **What these are:**
  - Means of addressing the problem backed by evidence and clearly explained
- **Why they matter:**
  - Policy-makers more likely to act on issues they think they can do something about
- **Example:**
  - ‘Immunize children’

**Issue characteristics: Findings on the safe motherhood initiative**

- **Credible indicators:**
  - Maternal mortality more difficult to measure than many other health outcomes such as fertility
- **Severity:**
  - If indicated by deaths alone, high, but not as high as other conditions such as HIV/AIDS and malaria
- **Effective interventions:**
  - Do exist but not as simple as those for other conditions such as vaccine-preventable diseases
  - Also, policy community disagreements in past have confused politicians concerning what they are being asked to do

**Issue characteristics: Consequence of intervention and measurement problems**

“We focus on uncertainties. That is the truth but it will not convince the Minister of Finance.”

“I would go with my ideas [to a donor] and [X] would go with hers and who was to say who was correct.”

---

Statements from respondents
The framework applied to the initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Status of safe motherhood initiative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actor power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Policy community cohesion</td>
<td>1. Policy community cohesion</td>
<td>Has been weak; now growing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Leadership</td>
<td>2. Leadership</td>
<td>Talented advocates, but leadership gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Guiding institutions</td>
<td>3. Guiding institutions</td>
<td>No strong coordinating mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Civil society mobilization</td>
<td>4. Civil society mobilization</td>
<td>Only in a few localities; gender inequities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideas</td>
<td>5. Internal frame</td>
<td>Difficulty generating; may be emerging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. External frame</td>
<td>6. External frame</td>
<td>Still being developed and tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political contexts</td>
<td>7. Policy windows</td>
<td>Several significant ones, including MDGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue characteristics</td>
<td>9. Credible indicators</td>
<td>Maternal mortality hard to measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Severity</td>
<td>10. Severity</td>
<td>Fewer deaths than other conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Effective interventions</td>
<td>11. Effective interventions</td>
<td>Exist but have not been clearly explained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New momentum for the safe motherhood initiative

- MDG number five
- Partnership for maternal, newborn and child health
- Increasing consensus on interventions
- Global Plan for MDGs 4 and 5
- Women Deliver Conference

Four key political challenges

- Need to institutionalize priority to ensure issue receives sustained attention and resources even after wave of enthusiasm passes
- Political challenges
  1. Solidify policy community cohesion
  2. Develop external frames that resonate
  3. Build strong guiding institutions
  4. Link with grassroots civil society initiatives

The larger question of this study

- Why do some global health initiatives receive priority from international and national political leaders whereas others receive little attention?
- Much speculation; little scholarship
- Need especially for comparative research
- Value of ongoing research
  - Explanatory value: expand knowledge concerning how global health issues acquire political priority
  - Practical value: develop guidance for struggling initiatives concerning how to generate much needed political support
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